

SENATOR HALL: Senator Bromm, absolutely. One of them was explained to me by the Clerk. I had never seen the language before, as I said, on the last page of the proposal, page 41, where we add language. It goes to the title of the bill. It was explained to me by the Clerk. I had never seen that in ten and a half years so I raised that question, what is that there for? That one would go away. The other one, just as that one was, was a legitimate question to find out what is that language there for, is the same kind of amendment. It makes no matter to me whether we do it today or we do it tomorrow. I mean, it's very likely that the proposal may not advance tonight, it may. I offered the amendment because I looked at this section. I read through it and I had some serious questions about what it allows. Senator Withem alluded in the earlier comments that it was old language. I don't believe that to be the case and I had some legitimate questions about what we are allowing here in this section, that being Section, I believe, 26 of the proposal, so the answer is yes.

SENATOR BROMM: And I think your amendment, the second one you spoke of is one that strikes me as one that we need to discuss to find out what that language is about and where it comes from and what it means. I guess...I appreciate the efforts of everyone that has put efforts into this bill. I think we've made some headway with it. There are some who have contributed greatly I think in some ways to try and improve the bill. I think we all acknowledge probably deep down that it is going to pass and so I think we're all about trying to make, as Senator Janssen, my friend, would do to try to make the best sausage we can and I think that's what, as far as I'm concerned, that's what it's all about. I don't sense obstructionist tactics. I guess I sense that we've had a lot of good discussion. There are only two amendments pending. I think we ought to try and take care of them, discuss them, and I'll tell you what, those who may think that us going into the late hour with this thing and not agreeing to just cut it off and send it on to Final Reading, you know Micron, themselves, I hope realizes that this body is not just trying to accommodate them, this body is trying to do something that is good law. We're not just making a feeble gesture and saying we'll do this for a company that sounds good and looks good. I think this body is taking it seriously. We're trying to do something that is good. I commend Senator Withem for his efforts and I think if the body wants to try to continue to work with the bill, that we do it,